





# Baseline Study for the Pacific Hazardous Waste Management Project - Healthcare Waste

The collection, collation and review of data on the management of healthcare waste and best-practice options for its disposal in participating Pacific Island Countries

#### Tuvalu

Prepared for: Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme (SPREP)

Prepared by: **ENVIRON Australia Pty Ltd** 

Date: **July 2014** 

Project Number: **AS140211** 





Prepared by:			Authorised	Authorised by:		
Name:	Trevor Thornton	l	Name:	Geoff Latimer		
Title:			Title:	Senior Manager		
Phone:			Phone:	9606 1508		
Email:			Email:	glatimer@environcorp.com		
Signature:		Date:	Signature:	Date:		

This document is issued in confidence to Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme (SPREP) for the purposes of collection and collation of information on the regional management of healthcare waste and its disposal, as part of their broader strategy of improving hazardous waste management in Pacific Island countries, and specifically to assist in establishing sustainable healthcare waste management. This report presents the findings of this assessment. It should not be used for any other purpose.

The report must not be reproduced in whole or in part except with the prior consent of SPREP and subject to inclusion of an acknowledgement of the source.

#### © ENVIRON Australia Pty Ltd

This has been produced with the assistance of the European Union. Its contents are the sole responsibility of Environ and can in no way be taken to reflect the views of the European Union.

#### **VERSION CONTROL RECORD**

Document File Name	Date Issued	Version	Author	Reviewer
AS140211_SPREP_Healthcare Waste	4 <sup>th</sup> July 2014	Draft 1	Trevor	Geoff
Report_Tuvalu_Draft rev1			Thornton	Latimer
AS140211_SPREP_Healthcare Waste	10 <sup>th</sup> July	Final	Trevor	Geoff
Report_Tuvalu_rev0	2014		Thornton	Latimer
PacWaste_HCW_Baseline_Report_TUVALU_	10 & 23 Oct	Final 1.1	J. Tavane	EU emblem
v1.1	2014			&
				disclaimer
				added

#### **Contents**

		Page
Execu	tive Summary	4
1	Introduction and Background	10
1.1	Project Scope	10
1.2	Report Structure	11
2	Healthcare Waste Management in Tuvalu	12
2.1	National Regulatory Framework	12
2.2	Hospital Assessed – Princess Margaret Hospital	13
3	Existing Waste Management Practices	14
3.1	Wastestreams, Treatment Constraints and Costs	15
3.2	Waste Management and Infection Control Framework	15
3.3	Training at Princess Margaret Hospital	16
4	Key Healthcare Waste Management Issues at Princess Margaret Hospital	17
4.1	Minimum Standards Framework	17
4.2	Princess Margaret Hospital – Key Issues	18
5	Consultation	20
6	Contractor Roles and Capacity	20
7	Analysis of Options for Sustainable Healthcare Waste Management in Tuvalu	21
7.1	Options for (Non-Treatment) Waste Management Aspects	22
7.2	Options for Treatment of Healthcare Waste	23
7.2.1	Waste Treatment Systems Relevant for Tuvalu	24
7.2.2	Treatment Investment Options for Princess Margaret Hospital	26
8	Recommendations	28
8.1	Implementation Priorities	31
8.1.1	Recommendation 1: Develop a Waste Management Framework	31
8.1.2	Recommendation 2: Procurement of Consumables (Segregation & Storage)	32
8.1.3	Recommendation 3: Provision of a Sustainable Training Program	33
8.1.4	Recommendation 4: Improved Treatment Infrastructure	34
8.1.5	Recommendation 5: Procurement of Consumables (PPE)	35
8.1.6	Recommendation 7: Upgrade Storage Facility	35
	f Tables	

#### List of Tables

Table 1:	National Environmental Legislation Summary
Table 2:	Hospital Details – Tuvalu
Table 3:	Waste Management Process - Observations
Table 4:	Assessment criteria rating system
Table 5:	Healthcare Waste - Key Issues for Tuvalu
Table 6:	Options for Sustainable Healthcare Waste Management in Tuvalu

Table 7: QUANTITATIVE Treatment Technology Options Assessment - Local

Feasibility (Tuvalu)

Table 8: Technology Options Applicable for Each Hospital in Tuvalu

Table 9: Recommendations for Tuvalu

#### List of Photographs

Photo 1: An example of one bin type for healthcare waste at Princess Margaret Hospital (taken 22/05/2014 by Trevor Thornton)

Photo 2: Another example of a healthcare waste bin, Princess Margaret Hospital (taken 22/05/2014 by Trevor Thornton)

Photo 3: Sharps deposited into one container – note it is overfilled at Princess Margaret Hospital (taken 22/05/2014 by Trevor Thornton)

Photo 4: Another example of a sharps container at Princess Margaret Hospital (taken 22/05/2014 by Trevor Thornton)

Photo 5: General waste in a healthcare waste bin at Princess Margaret Hospital (taken 22/05/2014 by Trevor Thornton)

Photo 6: The healthcare (and general) waste storage area at Princess Margaret Hospital (taken 22/05/2014 by Trevor Thornton)

Photo 7: The incinerator located at the landfill on Tuvalu (taken 22/05/2014 by Trevor Thornton)

Photo 8: Example of ash and needles located on the ground at the landfill (taken 22/05/2014 by Trevor Thornton)

Photo 9: Another example of ash and untreated healthcare waste at the landfill (taken 22/05/2014 by Trevor Thornton)

Photo 10: The wood fired/solar powered incinerator after it had been taken to the landfill – it has not been commissioned (taken 22/05/2014 by Trevor Thornton)

#### **List of Appendices**

Appendix A: Photo Log

Appendix B: Collected Data from Hospital Audits in Tuvalu

Appendix C: Minimum Standard Assessment

Appendix D: Qualitative Local Feasibility Assessment – Treatment Technology

Appendix E: Recommendation Guidelines

### **Executive Summary**

#### Introduction

The Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme (SPREP) is the Pacific region's major intergovernmental organisation charged with protecting and managing the environment and natural resources. SPREP works with and on behalf of its 21 member countries and territories to promote cooperation in the Pacific islands region, providing assistance to protect and improve the Pacific environment and to ensure sustainable development for present and future generations.

SPREP is implementing the Pacific Hazardous Waste Management (PacWaste) Project, a four year, €7,850,000 (2013 – 2017) project funded by the European Union and administered through SPREP. The project will provide fundamental on-ground improvement in the way priority high risk wastes are managed in Pacific Island Countries to help build a healthy, economically and environmentally sustainable Pacific for future generations. The PacWaste project is funded by the European Union under its 10<sup>th</sup> European Development Fund (EDF 10). The project focuses on three priority hazardous waste streams including asbestos, E-waste and healthcare waste.

ENVIRON was engaged by SPREP to collect and collate information on the regional management of healthcare waste and its disposal, as part of their broader strategy of improving waste management in Pacific Island Countries, and specifically to assist in establishing sustainable healthcare waste management. This report presents the findings of the assessment conducted for Tuvalu.

#### Current Healthcare Waste Management in Tuvalu

The Princess Margaret Hospital is the only hospital on the island nation of Tuvalu and as such was the only one assessed for Tuvalu as part of this project. Information regarding the waste management process occurring, from ward-level waste generation through to ultimate treatment and disposal was collected during an audit of the hospital conducted on 22<sup>nd</sup> April 2014.

A minimum standards framework has been developed to set a benchmark for the sustainable management of healthcare waste in the Pacific Island region. This framework is drawn from the *Industry code of practice for the management of biohazardous waste* (including clinical and related) wastes, Waste Management Association of Australia (2014), Draft 7th edition, taking into account the Pacific Island hospital and environmental context.

Using information obtained from the audit, the Princess Margaret Hospital was assessed against this framework. Table ES1 highlights the <u>key</u> areas of concern in terms of health services delivery by the hospital, as part of this assessment.

A full description and definitions of minimum standards applicable for healthcare waste management, as well as a comprehensive assessment against each of the criteria is presented in **Appendix C**.

Target areas have been rated as follows:

Meets minimum standards assessment criteria				
Partially meets minimum standards assessment criteria.				
 Does not meet minimum standards assessment criteria.				

Scale	Category	Item	Minimum Standard Criterion	Princess Margaret Hospital
Healthcare Facility	Policy	Waste Management Plan	Has been developed by the hospital and is based on a review of healthcare waste management and is current (within 5 years)	
Healthcare Facility	Management Committee		A waste management committee has been formed that has representatives from a broad range of departments and meets at least twice per year. A clear set of objectives has been developed for this committee. It reports to the senior management of the hospital.	
Healthcare Facility	Signage		Signs are located in all wards/department areas where waste bins are located indicating the correct container for the various waste types	
Healthcare Facility	Storage	Storage before treatment	Meets the stated standards	
Healthcare Facility	Training	Curricula	A structured waste management training program has been developed that targets the different roles within the hospitals.	
Healthcare Facility	Waste Audits		A program has been implemented to ensure waste audits are conducted of all waste materials/systems in all wards/departments on an annual basis and reports are provided to the waste management committee. Effective systems are in place to ensure that any non-conformances (with the hospital waste management strategy) are remedied.	
Healthcare Facility	Treatment	Suitability of treatment for healthcare waste	The method for treating healthcare waste is in accord with required standards - this includes operating parameters and location of the treatment unit.	

#### Key Issues

Adoption and implementation of a broad range of sustainability initiatives is something that was very evident during this waste assessment process. Translating this into the management of healthcare waste should also be a priority.

Ensuring that healthcare wastes are correctly segregated into containers that are appropriate for the waste type(s) and then treated to a high standard is the main issue that needs to be addressed. Given that the landfill has minimal distance to groundwater (and surface waters), then disposing of healthcare waste that has not been treated to reduce potential impacts to the environment is important. In addition, despite a ban, members of the community do access the site to predominantly dispose of waste, but also to scavenge materials.

The storage of wastes at the hospital, including healthcare waste is not satisfactory as the waste is left out in the open that provides the potential for scavengers to access the waste bags.

Implementing a waste management education program for healthcare staff as well as others involved in waste management would assist in reducing the potential impact to the environment and the wider community.

#### Analysis of Options for Sustainable Healthcare Waste Management in Tuvalu

Where <u>non-treatment</u> waste management aspects were observed to be performing below the Minimum Standards Framework, this framework is referenced for recommended actions.

For <u>treatment</u> of healthcare waste, various options used around the world were considered in the Pacific Islands context, via a two stage process:

- Stage 1: High-level costs and benefits (cost, lifespan, technical feasibility and how that relates to the Pacific Island regional context); and
- Stage 2: A Tuvalu specific feasibility assessment, using an analysis of 10 criteria (Appendix D)

Treatment options that rated best for Tuvalu were:

- High Temperature Incineration is the promoted disinfection practice where units are modern, maintained, have sufficient waste volumes and locked in supplier maintenance and training contracts.
- Medium Temperature Incineration is acceptable in the medium term to remedy current unacceptable practices at sites too small to justify costs of expensive equipment.
- Autoclaving is a potentially acceptable disinfection practice where units with shredder are affordable and locked in supplier maintenance and training contracts are in place, but its increased landfill (volume) impact makes it non-preferred for Tuvalu.
- Low temperature burning is a borderline practice which can only be acceptable in the short term, in low population density environments, to remedy current unacceptable practices.

The Princess Margaret Hospital does have an incinerator (albeit a wood fired model), that is used for treating healthcare waste. In addition, there is another incinerator that is yet to be installed (it would also be located at the landfill), and there is some doubt as to its capacity to reach design temperatures as it is also a wood fired model, as well as emissions would not be controlled. However, the current operational incinerator is located at the landfill which is preferable than being sited within the hospital grounds (community complaints was the reason for shifting the incinerator to the landfill).

Based on this review, the Princess Margaret Hospital does require investment in infrastructure/technology to replace the incinerator and ensure that healthcare waste is managed to "best-practice".

#### Recommendations

Table ES2 provides a summary of the recommendations for Tuvalu.

Table ES2: Recommendations for Tuvalu						
Recomme	Recommendation 1: Develop a Waste Management Framework					
Description	<ul> <li>A Healthcare Waste Management Plan, specific to Princess Margaret Hospital, as well as relevant other healthcare services located on other islands</li> <li>Appoint an officer responsible for the development and implementation of the Healthcare Waste Management Plan</li> <li>A waste management committee.</li> </ul>					
Output	<ul> <li>An agreed Healthcare Waste Management Plan, outlining procedures and guidelines, waste definitions and characterisation, segregation techniques, containment specifications and storage practices, collection and transport, treatment and disposal and emergency procedures</li> <li>Accountability for healthcare waste management through clearly defined roles and responsibilities</li> </ul>					
Monitoring & Evaluation Indicators	<ul> <li>Plan approved by Ministry of Health</li> <li>Approved budget for implementation of Healthcare Waste Management Plan</li> <li>The Plan should be regularly monitored, reviewed, revised and updated.</li> <li>Annual assessment of 'Responsible Officer's' or Waste Management Committees' performance against key healthcare waste management competencies.</li> <li>Establishment – Low if document drafting assistance is provided</li> </ul>					
(\$US)	Ongoing – Low					
Recomme	ndation 2: Procurement of Consumables (Segregation & Storage)					
Description	<ul> <li>Supply of colour-coded waste bins and plastic liners in quantities sufficient to serve all wards/departments for a period of time sufficient to allow bedding down of the segregation process.</li> <li>Supply of small number of colour-coded wheelie bins (where required) to act as both in-ward/department storage and internal transport trolleys.</li> <li>Supply of signage to explain the colour-coded segregation system as well as posters to promote it.</li> </ul>					
Output	Adequate supply of consumables to bed down more rigorous segregation practices					
Monitoring & Evaluation Indicators	<ul> <li>Wastes are segregated at their place of production.</li> <li>Infection wastes, general wastes and used sharps are stored in separate colour coded containers and locations within medical areas.</li> <li>Zero Needle Stick Injuries.</li> </ul>					
Costs (\$US)	Establishment – Low; Ongoing - Low, sustainably funded by country					
Recomme	ndation 3: Provision of a Sustainable Training Program					
Description	A structured waste management education/awareness program is developed and					

Table ES2	: Recommendations for Tuvalu
	<ul> <li>delivered to all stakeholders (including non-hospital employees)</li> <li>SPREP staff, or outside trainers, or a combination of both, could assist in the improvement of the training program and developing ways to incentivise staff to participate and comply with learning outcomes.</li> <li>Training should be coordinated with other countries' needs in the region.</li> </ul>
Output	<ul> <li>Delivery of a structured healthcare waste training program to all hospital personnel as well as personnel from other stakeholders (e.g., government health and environment agencies)</li> <li>Improvement of personnel skills and competency in managing healthcare waste</li> <li>Promotion of the advantages of sustainable segregation and storage techniques for the different waste streams and an understanding of the health and safety risks resulting from the mismanagement risks of healthcare waste.</li> </ul>
Monitoring & Evaluation Indicators	<ul> <li>Competency Assessments</li> <li>Refresher Training</li> <li>No/very little cross contamination between waste streams demonstrated by waste audits.</li> </ul>
Costs (\$US)	Establishment – Medium; Ongoing - Low
Recomme	ndation 4: Improved Treatment Infrastructure
Description	<ul> <li>A technology is in place that ensures healthcare waste are treated to a standard that avoids adverse impacts to the environment and human health</li> <li>Procurement of a new high temperature incinerator for Princess Margaret Hospital, located off-site, with a maintenance support contract</li> </ul>
Output	A treatment system that reduces the potential hazard posed by health-care waste, while endeavouring to protect the environment.
Monitoring & Evaluation Indicators	Assessment of the following should be regularly undertaken for new and existing incinerators:  Operations and construction (e.g. pre-heating and not overloading the incinerator and incinerating at temperatures above 800°C only)  Maintenance program – are maintenance issues dealt with promptly?
Costs (\$US)	<ul> <li>Ensure burn times are sufficient to reduce waste ash volumes</li> <li>Establishment – High \$50,000 for a small high-temperature unit, including housing and commissioning costs;</li> <li>Ongoing – medium (fuel and maintenance)</li> </ul>
Recomme	ndation 5: Procurement of Consumables (PPE)
Description	Supply appropriate PPE including overalls/protective clothing, gloves and eye

Table ES2	Table ES2: Recommendations for Tuvalu				
	<ul> <li>protection for all waste handlers.</li> <li>Incinerator staffs are provided with additional PPE such as face masks and noise protection.</li> </ul>				
Output	Adequate supply of PPE for protection of waste handlers				
Monitoring & Evaluation Indicators	<ul> <li>PPE is provided to all staff and staff are aware on how to protect themselves from injuries and infectious wastes</li> <li>Zero Needle Stick Injuries.</li> </ul>				
Costs (\$US)	Establishment – Low; Ongoing - Low, sustainably funded by country				
Recomme	endation 6: Upgrade of Storage Area				
Description	<ul> <li>The storage area of healthcare waste before disposal does not meet minimum standards for storage; it can be accessed by members of the public.</li> <li>There also needs to be a storage area located at the landfill for healthcare waste</li> </ul>				
Output	Storage areas are fenced, lockable, suitably designed and isolated from patients and the public.				
Monitoring & Evaluation Indicators	Suitability of storage areas frequently assessed by the 'responsible officer' to ensure that it is locked and appropriately signed.				
Costs (\$US)	<ul> <li>Establishment – Low (procurement of an actual storage structure, signage and spill kit)</li> <li>Ongoing – Low</li> </ul>				

Implementation actions are suggested for each recommendation, classified as short, medium and long-term priorities.

## 1 Introduction and Background

The Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme (SPREP) is the Pacific region's major intergovernmental organisation charged with protecting and managing the environment and natural resources. SPREP works with and on behalf of its 21 member countries and territories to promote cooperation in the Pacific islands region, providing assistance to protect and improve the Pacific environment and to ensure sustainable development for present and future generations.

SPREP is implementing the Pacific Hazardous Waste Management (PacWaste) Project, a four year, €7,850,000 (2013 – 2017) project funded by the European Union and administered through SPREP. The project will provide fundamental on-ground improvement in the way priority high risk wastes are managed in Pacific Island Countries to help build a healthy, economically and environmentally sustainable Pacific for future generations. The PacWaste project is funded by the European Union under its 10<sup>th</sup> European Development Fund (EDF 10). The project focuses on three priority hazardous waste streams including asbestos, E-waste and healthcare waste.

ENVIRON was engaged by SPREP to collect and collate information on the regional management of healthcare waste and its disposal, as part of their broader strategy of improving waste management in Pacific Island Countries, and specifically to assist in establishing sustainable healthcare waste management. This report presents the findings of the assessment conducted for Tuvalu.

#### 1.1 Project Scope

This report covers the approach specified in the Request for Tender AP 6/5/6/2 'The collection, collation and review of data on the management of healthcare waste and best practice options for its disposal in selected Pacific Island communities' as it specifically relates to Tuvalu and includes:

- Collection and collation of data on the current practice(s) used to dispose of hazardous healthcare waste in Tuvalu. Data collected includes:
  - Basic background data on the operation of the site (number of beds, population served, current and projected rates of hazardous healthcare waste generation);
  - Healthcare waste separation and infection control practices;
  - Adequacy of supply of hazardous healthcare waste collection equipment;
  - Hazardous healthcare waste storage;
  - Hazardous healthcare waste transportation;
  - Hazardous healthcare waste disposal practice and annual operating costs;
  - Frequency and adequacy of infection control training;
  - Frequency and adequacy of waste disposal training;
  - Adequacy of supply of personnel protective equipment.
- Consultation with national authorities to review and identify best-practice option(s) and preferences for national hazardous healthcare waste management by considering

- technical feasibility within the existing health infrastructure (including review of existing local institutional, policy and regulatory arrangements).
- Identification of local contractors who may have the expertise and capacity to potentially
  partner with regional or international expert's in future hazardous healthcare waste
  management including infection control training.

#### 1.2 Report Structure

This report is structured as follows:

- an introduction to the project (section 1)
- discussion of current healthcare waste management in Tuvalu, including the current regulatory framework and hospital details (**section 2**)
- a summary of existing waste management practices, waste streams and quantities, waste management and infection control framework, the waste management process that was reviewed, training and education programs and identified healthcare waste management issues (section 3)
- key healthcare waste management issues and any county-wide or regional themes that were identified (section 4)
- a summary of hospital and national authority consultation outcomes (section 5)
- an assessment of contractor roles and their capacity to sustainably manage and treat healthcare waste, including any training or education capacity (**section 6**)
- an analysis of the healthcare waste management and treatment options available, both regionally and specific to Tuvalu, to address the key issues identified (**section 7**)
- recommendations and prioritization of actions necessary to enable sustainable hazardous healthcare waste management and disposal in Tuvalu (section 8)

## 2 Healthcare Waste Management in Tuvalu

#### 2.1 National Regulatory Framework

A summary of relevant legislation that applies to environmental and waste management and human health in Tuvalu is provided in Table 1.

The Ministry of Natural Resources, Energy and Environment and the Solid Waste Agency of Tuvalu (SWAT) (a Department of the Ministry of Home Affairs and Rural Development), have responsibility for waste management, with the Ministry of Health having specific responsibilities for the management of wastes generated from the healthcare system.

Table 1: Nationa	I Enviror	nmental Legislation Summary		
Legislation	Туре	Summary	References to Solid/HCW	Regulator/ Agency
Environmental Protection Act 2007	Act	To provide for the protection and management of the environment in Tuvalu and related purposes		Ministry of Natural Resources, Energy and Environment
The Public Health Act 1926	Act		The Act states that all premises and land should be kept clean through burning or placing in rubbish bins.	
Public Health Regulations 1974	Regs			Ministry of Health
Waste Operators and Services Act 2009	Act	Defines the roles and responsibilities for waste management in Tuvalu, and to make provision for the collection and disposal of solid wastes and other wastes related operations and services in designated areas of Tuvalu, and for related purposes.	The management of and regulatory control (including collection and disposal), of medical wastes over medical wastes shall be the responsibility of the Ministry of Health.	Ministry of Health

#### 2.2 Hospital Assessed – Princess Margaret Hospital

As the sole hospital in the country and the only one assessed as part of this project, the Princess Margaret Hospital has 50 beds. Princess Margaret Hospital provides primary healthcare, emergency care, surgery, ICU, radiography, inpatient, obstetric and maternity, outpatient services and allied health services. There are no private providers of healthcare services on Tuvalu.

Table 2 summarises key contact personnel and key hospital administrative statistics. Note that a broad range of data/information was not provided despite a number of requests prior to, and after the site visit.

Table 2: Hospital Details – Tuvalu				
Princess Margaret Hospital, Funafuti				
Mrs. Avanoa Homasi-Paelate, Health				
Education & Promotion Officer				
11,000				
50				
100%				
18,250				
Not Provided				
35				
ction				
Not Provided				

#### Notes:

- 1. OBDs = Occupied Bed Days (previous 12 months)
- 2. Infection Control staff are also included in Nursing/ Medical numbers

## 3 Existing Waste Management Practices

This section describes waste management practices observed during the hospital audit. Information regarding the waste management process occurring, from ward-level waste generation through to ultimate treatment and disposal is described in Table 3.

Audit observations are then elaborated upon further for the remaining issue headings:

- Waste streams, Treatment Constraints and Costs
- Waste Management and Infection Control Framework and
- Training.

A comprehensive list of all data collected from the site audit of the Princess Margaret Hospital is located in **Appendix B**.

Table 3: V	: Waste Management Process - Observations						
	Hospital Name		Princess Margaret Hospital				
0	Dedicated Containers/ Bags		Υ				
Generation	Colour Coding		Υ				
& Sographion	Sharps segregated & secure		Y – 'Some Ov	rerfilling'			
Segregation	Signage Present		N				
Internal	Degree of manual handling of bags		High				
Handling	Internal Transport Mode		Trolley/M	GB			
Tranding	Spill Kit Present		N				
	Dedicated & Appropriate Area		N				
Storage	Loading/unloading acceptable		N				
Otorage	Spill Kits Present		N				
	Monitoring & record keeping occurs		N				
	Treatment per Waste Stream		Tech. Type	Volumes (kg/week)			
	Healthcare Waste	✓	Burn Offsite	100			
	Sharps	✓	Burn Offsite	10			
		<b>\</b>	Burn Offsite /Landfill	Not known			
	Pharmaceutical		(without treatment)				
	Cytotoxic	×	NA	NA			
	General	✓	Landfill (without treatment)	Not Provided			
	If incinerator present						
Treatment	Make, Model, Year commissioned		Not Known				
	Operating Temp (°C)		Not able to be measured				
	No. chambers		1				
	Condition		Reasonable				
		In	addition to the wood fired incin	erator located at the landfill,			
		F	Princess Margaret Hospital has an incinerator, which was				
	Comments	don	donated (Japanese donor) – it is a 2 chambered Samara Wood				
		fired incinerator with solar power for the blower and the					
		m	manufacturer states it can achieve ~900°C. It has not been				

Table 3: Waste Management Process - Observations					
	Hospital Name Princess Margaret Hospital				
		constructed since the donation and	stored at the hospital, but		
		shifted to the landfill during	the audit period.		
	Operational statistics	Per week	Per year		
	Waste Throughput (kg)	Not Known	Not Known		
	Operating Hours (hr)	Conducts one burn each The	ursday and Friday		
	Fuel	Wood			
	Fuel use (kg/litres)	NA			
	Fuel use per kg waste burnt	NA			
	Technology siting and operation issues	Good – Sited at the	e landfill		
	Offsite transport assessment	Fair (some issues in relation to st	orage during transport)		

#### 3.1 Wastestreams, Treatment Constraints and Costs

Princess Margaret Hospital generates general waste and healthcare wastes (including, infectious waste sharps and pharmaceutical wastes) in the approximate quantities described in Table 3.

No costs information was obtained; since waste disposal costs are internally borne by the hospital it is not directly measured. There is a cost for the external waste manager to collect and incinerate healthcare waste, but this cost is borne by the Solid Waste Authority (estimated that they pay \$7.00 per hour for this service).

#### 3.2 Waste Management and Infection Control Framework

The following summarises the waste management and infection control framework at Princess Margaret Hospital:

- There is no waste management policy, plan or formalised waste management procedures.
- Cleaning staff are responsible for internal collection of waste and transport to the storage area. This storage area is outside in the elements and not secure.
- An external contractor is responsible for collecting waste and transporting to the landfill for disposal or processing through the incinerator (located at the landfill).
- The external contractor, on occasion, stores healthcare waste within his home and takes to the landfill on another day for processing through the incinerator.
- It was not known if any infection control manual existed or, if so, it made reference to
  waste management procedures such as the infection risks associated with improper
  handling of healthcare waste and proper segregation of infectious waste.
- There is no formal waste auditing or inspections.

#### 3.3 Training at Princess Margaret Hospital

There is no formalised training program for any staff on waste management or infection control. It was reported that informal training on infection control takes place on a "needs basis" or for new staff – however no additional detail of who delivers the training and when was provided.

While the Health Education & Promotion Officer has some responsibility (and enthusiasm), for waste management, the main barrier for waste management education is the lack of resourcing for a program to be developed and delivered. There was also enthusiasm by the Solid Waste Authority for improved waste management education – with expansion to others beyond the healthcare setting.

## 4 Key Healthcare Waste Management Issues at Princess Margaret Hospital

This section takes the collected information from Section 3 and summarises and critically assesses it, for Princess Margaret Hospital, in the context of a Minimum Standards Framework.

A key issues summary is also provided.

#### 4.1 Minimum Standards Framework

A minimum standards framework has been developed to set a benchmark for the sustainable management of healthcare waste in the Pacific Island region. This framework is drawn from the *Industry code of practice for the management of biohazardous waste* (including clinical and related) wastes, Waste Management Association of Australia (2014), Draft 7th edition, taking into account the Pacific Island hospital and environmental context.

A full description and definitions of minimum standards applicable for healthcare waste management, as well as a comprehensive assessment against each of the criteria is presented in **Appendix C**. Target areas have been rated as follows:

Table 4: Assessment criteria rating system				
Meets minimum standards assessment criteria				
	Partially meets minimum standards assessment criteria.			
	Does not meet minimum standards assessment criteria.			

Table 5 highlights the key areas of concern in terms of health services delivery by the Princess Margaret Hospital, as part of this assessment.

Table 5: Healthcare Waste – Key issues for Tuvalu (PRINCESS MARGARET HOSPITAL)					
Scale	Category	Item	Minimum Standard Criterion		
Healthcare Facility	Policy	Waste Management Plan	Has been developed by the hospital and is based on a review of healthcare waste management and is current (within 5 years)		
Healthcare Facility	Responsible Person		An officer has been appointed to assume responsibility for waste management within the hospital, and has been allocated sufficient time and resources - this person could have waste management as part of other duties		
Healthcare Facility	Management Committee		A waste management committee has been formed that has representatives from a broad range of departments and meets at least twice per year. A clear set of objectives has been developed for this committee. It reports to the senior management of the hospital.		
Healthcare Facility	Signage		Signs are located in all wards/department areas where waste bins are located indicating the correct container for the various waste types		
Healthcare Facility	Segregation		Waste are correctly segregated in all wards/departments with use of containers that are colour coded for the different waste types		
Healthcare Facility	Containers		All areas have dedicated waste containers are suitable for the types of waste generated. All waste containers are colour coded and have correct wording on them. Sharps are deposited into containers that reduce potential for needle-stick injury		

Table 5: Healthcare Waste – Key issues for Tuvalu (PRINCESS MARGARET HOSPITAL)					
Scale	Category	Item	Minimum Standard Criterion	Princess Margaret Hospital	
Healthcare Facility	Storage	Storage before treatment	Meets the stated standards		
Healthcare Facility	Training	Curricula	A structured waste management training program has been developed that targets the different roles within the hospitals.		
Healthcare Facility	Training	Follow-up & refresher courses	All staff receives waste management education during induction. All staff receive refresher training annually. Waste management training is delivered following an adverse incident to the relevant staff/ward/department.		
Healthcare Facility	Training	Training responsibility	A hospital officer has responsibility for ensuring all training occurs as required and that records are maintained of all training and attendance.		
Healthcare Facility	Waste Audits		A program has been implemented to ensure waste audits are conducted of all waste materials/systems in all wards/departments on an annual basis and reports are provided to the waste management committee. Effective systems are in place to ensure that any non-conformances (with the hospital waste management strategy) are remedied.		
Healthcare Facility	External Transport	Vehicle and storage	Vehicle for the transport of healthcare waste should be constructed so that there is no opportunity for spills and that no wastes are stored during transit t the treatment site.		
Healthcare Facility	Treatment	Suitability of treatment for healthcare waste	The method for treating healthcare waste is in accord with required standards - this includes operating parameters and location of the treatment unit.		
Healthcare Facility	Occupational Health and Safety	PPE	All waste handlers are provided with and use appropriate PPE including overalls/protective clothing, gloves and eye protection. Incinerator staff are provided with additional PPE such as face masks and noise protection. A system is in place to monitor correct use of PPE.		
Healthcare Facility	Occupational Health and Safety	Staff risk	Waste containers, locations, storage and management procedures for healthcare waste incorporate identified risks to staff in accessing the waste and/or having needle-stick injuries.		
Healthcare Facility	Healthcare waste management emergencies	Spill Prevention and Control	Spill kits are provided or all types of healthcare waste in all wards/departments, storage areas and on trolleys and vehicles. Staff are trained on the use of spill kits. All incidents of spills of healthcare waste are investigated and where appropriate remedial actions implemented.		

#### 4.2 Princess Margaret Hospital – Key Issues

The most significant health care waste management issues observed at Princess Margaret Hospital were:

- There are colour coded waste bins, with the use of yellow bin liners for healthcare waste but not used in all areas. There is also a mix of bin types ranging from 240 litre mobile garbage bins to smaller open bins. (Photos 1 and 2)
- Sharps containers are provided, but they were often overfull. Sharps were also located in open bins. (Photos 3 and 4)
- There is no signage.
- Segregation of waste is poor, with large volumes of general waste deposited into the healthcare waste stream. (Photo 5)

- Healthcare waste is stored in an open area (in 240 litre mobile garbage bins and wool bales), while awaiting collection for disposal. The area where healthcare waste is stored before disposal needs to be secured with a lockable door and fencing and signposted with hazardous waste signage to prevent hospital patient or public access. (Photo 6)
- There is no reference to a waste management Policy or Procedures.
- There is no structured training program in place for management of healthcare waste and infection risk from improper handling of infectious wastes.
- Spill control kits were not observed anywhere.
- No PPE such as gloves, protective clothing, eye protection or covered footwear was observed for cleaning staff.
- It was reported that the contractor who transports and incinerates the waste may store it at his residence and only take it the landfill on days when burning occurs.
- A wood fired incinerator is located at the landfill and used for the treatment of healthcare waste. This incinerator was shifted to the landfill due to complaints from the community over smoke and odour when it was located at the hospital. (Photo 7)
- Ash is deposited at the landfill in an ad hoc manner that is simply onto the ground near the incinerator. There are sharps amongst this ash. Pharmaceutical waste was also observed dumped at the landfill without being treated in any manner. (Photos 8 and 9)
- No supervision of the incineration process occurs. In addition the community has open access to the landfill.
- A wood fired/solar powered incinerator has been donated and was is in storage at the
  Hospital and shifted to the landfill during the audit period, it has not yet been set up but
  according to SWAT, the intention is to have it operational. (Photo 10)

#### 5 Consultation

Discussions were held with Ms Susana Telakau, Director of SWAT. These discussions centred on the process for collecting and managing healthcare waste as well as related waste management issues on Tuvalu (eg., process for the contractor to collect and manage wastes from the Hospital). Discussions also focussed on training needs and how it could be delivered. The wood fired, solar powered incinerator was also discussed and advice provided on its establishment.

Informal discussions on waste management on Tuvalu were also held with Ileana Miritescu Attaché – Programme Manager, Infrastructure and Natural Resources, Delegation of the European Union for the Pacific. This discussion was on management of healthcare waste in general terms.

## 6 Contractor Roles and Capacity

No in-country contractors were identified as providing or having the capacity to provide healthcare waste management support services. This includes training (in areas like waste management, infection control, technology operation and maintenance) and risk management.

## 7 Analysis of Options for Sustainable Healthcare Waste Management in Tuvalu

Section 4 identifies key issues that need to be addressed in improving healthcare waste management in Tuvalu. This section evaluates the potential options that could be employed to respond to these key issues.

Table 6 categorizes these key issues (A - H) against potential options that could be adopted to tackle them, as a collated list of high-level responses.

Table 6: Options for	or Sustainable Healthca	re Waste Management in Tuvalu
Key Issue Category	Key Issue	Options to address the issue
A. Waste Management Framework	There is no documented infection control policy or procedures linked (or not) to a waste management planning system in place and no waste management committee.	Establish a waste management framework focused primarily on management of environmental and health risks, but incorporates principles of infection control as well as ensuring there is also a:  • Waste Management Plan  • Responsible officer for implementation of waste management plan  • Waste management committee, albeit with very small membership relevant to the size of the hospital
B. Signage, Segregation & Containers	Segregation and containment practices are poor and there is no signage present	<ul> <li>Supply colour coded waste containers</li> <li>Supply of small number of colour-coded wheelie bins (where required) to act as both in-ward/department storage and internal transport trolleys.</li> <li>Supply of signage to explain the colour-coded segregation system as well as posters to promote it.</li> </ul>
C. Storage of healthcare waste	Healthcare waste is not stored in a manner that avoids risks to personnel and the environment	Improve the storage of healthcare waste by the provision of a dedicated storage facility that ensures that wastes are contained in a secure manner and that non- authorised persons do not have access
D. Training	There is no structured training program.	Development and delivery of a structured healthcare waste training program to all hospital personnel as well as personnel from other stakeholders (e.g., government health and environment agencies). This could be facilitated/delivered by:  1. SPREP staff, or  2. International technical training providers (or a
		combination of both),  - as no competent healthcare waste management training capability exists in Tuvalu.
		<ul> <li>Provide incentive for staff to attend or make attendance compulsory, assess competency through waste audits and continually follow up on areas for improvement.</li> </ul>
E. Occupational Health and Safety	Waste handlers regularly do not use appropriate PPE including overalls /protective clothing, gloves and eye	Procurement of Consumables (PPE):  • Supply spill kits and appropriate PPE including overalls/protective clothing, gloves and eye protection

Table 6: Options f	Table 6: Options for Sustainable Healthcare Waste Management in Tuvalu				
Key Issue Category	Key Issue	Options to address the issue			
	protection.  Spill control kits were not observed anywhere.	for all waste handlers.  • Incinerator staff are provided with additional PPE such as face masks and noise protection.			
F. Waste Audit	There is no structured waste segregation auditing program in place	Development and delivery of a structured healthcare waste audit program     Establishment of that in the waste management planning system and the training program			
G. External Transport	Healthcare wastes are not transported in a dedicated vehicle and not stored inappropriately during transit.	Ensure a dedicated vehicle is used for the transport of healthcare waste     No healthcare waste is to be stored while in transit to the treatment facility – all storage facilities for healthcare waste regardless of their location must meet the minimum standard			
H. Treatment	The method for treatment of healthcare waste should be improved, and made more efficient in a broader sense, despite the fact that the infection risk is minimally managed – but environmental and health & safety issues are not	Treatment using one (or a combination) of the following:  1. Rotary kiln (highest temperature)  2. Incineration (high, medium temperature)  3. Low temperature burning (single chamber incinerator/ pit/ drum/ brick enclosure/ land)  4. Autoclave  5. Chemical  6. Microwave  7. Encapsulation  8. Landfill (without treatment)  9. Onsite burial  10. Shredding  11. Onsite burial			

#### 7.1 Options for (Non-Treatment) Waste Management Aspects

Those options that <u>do not</u> relate directly to the waste <u>treatment</u> process tend to have limited alternatives that can address their respective key issue, given they typically relate to the fundamentals of hazardous waste management. These are:

- The waste management (and infection control) framework, including policies, plans, procedures, responsibility for implementation and audit of the functioning of the framework (A in Table 6)
- The waste management process, from generation to transport up to the treatment location (B, C, E and G in Table 6)
- Training and auditing systems for sustainable healthcare waste management (D and F in Table 6).

These areas have not been subjected to an options analysis, because the minimum standards framework has clear requirements with limited variation options.

#### 7.2 Options for Treatment of Healthcare Waste

Healthcare waste <u>treatment</u> (key issue category H) has a number of alternative approaches, as summarized in Table 6. These have strengths and weaknesses that need to be considered in the context of criteria such as performance and cost of the technology itself, the waste types and volumes it is required to process, the environment it would be operating in and a range of factors specific to the Pacific Islands region and in some cases an individual country's circumstances. There also needs to be consideration of the capacity of organisations/personnel to effectively operate the technology and ensure maintenance is undertaken to ensure it remains operational.

Treatment solutions may involve a single technology, more than one technology for subcategories of healthcare waste or combination of the technologies listed in Table 6. These alternatives have been assessed using a two stage process:

#### Stage 1: High-level costs and benefits

- Cost (capital, operating, maintenance)\*
- Lifespan
- Technical feasibility (advantages and disadvantages) and how that relates to the Pacific Island regional context

#### Stage 2: Local feasibility assessment (per country)

- comparative cost to implement
- capacity for maintenance
- comparative effectiveness across all HCWs
- health and safety considerations
- sustainability
- institutional and policy fit
- cultural fit
- barriers to implementation
- environmental impact
- durability and
- ease of operator use.

The stage 1 treatment technology options assessment is generic to the Pacific region so is included in the *Whole of Project – Summary Report*, Appendix E. This analysis highlights the following technologies as worthy of consideration for Tuvalu's Stage 2 assessment:

<sup>\*</sup> Costs are estimated at a high level for relative comparison purposes. Detailed quotations, particularly for equipment purchase and associated operating and maintenance costs will be required as part of any future procurement process to be managed by SPREP.

- 1. Incineration (high temperature: >1,000°C ¹)
- 2. Incineration (medium temperature: 800 1,000°C <sup>4</sup>)
- 3. Low temperature burning (single chamber incinerator/ pit/ drum/ brick enclosure/ land: <400°C <sup>4</sup>)
- 4. Autoclave
- 5. Encapsulation (of sharps only, in combination with a form of disinfection).

#### 7.2.1 Waste Treatment Systems Relevant for Tuvalu

The Stage 2 local feasibility assessment (for Tuvalu) took these first four<sup>2</sup> technologies and assessed them against the ten dot point criteria listed in 7.2.

These criteria are explored qualitatively in **Appendix D**. Table 7 takes these qualitative descriptions and assigns a quantitative score from 1-5, to prioritise local applicability of technology options to the Tuvalu context, on a relative basis as follows:

- 1. Very low
- 2. Low
- 3. Moderate
- 4. High
- 5. Very High.

The treatment technologies suitable for the Tuvalu context are ranked in order of preference in Table 7:

Table 7: OUANTITATIVE Treatment Technology Options Assessment - Local Feasibility (Tuvalu)												
Stage 1-Approved Technology Options		S	Local Feasibility						I	Total Score	Rank	
reciniology Options	Comparatively low cost to implement	Comparative effectiveness across all HCWs	Health & safety to workers & community	Sustainability of solution	Institutional and policy fit	Cultural fit	Implementation barriers can be overcome?	Receiving environment protected	Durability	Ease of operation	out of 50	
Incineration at high temperature (>1000°C)	1	5	4	4	4	5	3	3	3	3	35	1
Incineration at med. temperature (800 - 1000°C)	4	4	3	3	2	5	4	2	2	4	33	2
Autoclave with shredder	2	4	4	3	4	2	2	4	2	2	29	3
Low temperature burning (<400°C)	5	3	1	2	1	1	2	1	5	5	26	4
Notes:  Scored on a scale of 1-5, where 1= very low; 2 = low; 3= moderate; 4 = high and 5 = very high												

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> As defined in *Management of Solid Health-Care Waste at Primary Health-Care Centres - A Decision-Making Guide*, WHO (2005)

**ENVIRON** 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Encapsulation is assessed separately as its potential applicability is only for sharps that have already been treated to remove the infection risk, whereas all other technologies have a wider application and are fundamentally standalone options.

#### Table 7: QUANTITATIVE Treatment Technology Options Assessment - Local Feasibility (Tuvalu)

- Criteria given equal weighting
- Possible maximum score: 50

#### In support of Table 7's ranking:

- High Temperature Incineration is the promoted disinfection practice where units are modern, maintained, have sufficient waste volumes and locked in supplier maintenance and training contracts.
- Medium Temperature Incineration is acceptable in the medium term to remedy current unacceptable practices at sites too small to justify costs of expensive equipment.
- Autoclaving is a potentially acceptable disinfection practice where units with shredder are affordable and locked in supplier maintenance and training contracts are in place, but its increased landfill (volume) impact makes it non-preferred for Tuvalu.
- Low temperature burning is a borderline practice which can only be acceptable in the short term, in low population density environments, to remedy current unacceptable practices.

Based on the qualitative assessment in **Appendix D**, *encapsulation* ranks as an effective way to deal with the residual risk from <u>already</u> disinfected sharps: i.e., the risk of needle stick injury by healthcare workers or the community (ie., via scavenging within waste disposal areas) due to the fact that sharps are disinfected but the "sharp" hazard will generally remain following incineration (even at high temperature). Encapsulation is not recommended as an isolated form of treatment, as it does not disinfect or otherwise treat the hazard of the waste.

Wastes should be treated and disposed of accordingly to ensure the infectious hazard is destroyed whilst also ensuring that risks to the environment and safety to people is not compromised.

A substantial amount of data exists on the emissions generated from incinerators, but conversely, little studies have been conducted on all aspects of alternate technologies performance. While the literature is inconclusive on the requirements needed to effectively manage the blood and body fluid contaminated and infectious components of the waste streams, there does seem to be consensus that hazardous components such as pharmaceuticals and cytotoxic wastes do need to be treated prior to final disposal to ensure there is no risks to the environment or health of humans and other species. In addition, internationally the consensus is that anatomical waste (ie., body parts), should also only be incinerated. No publication from a government environmental or health agency, or any article reviewed advocated any other preferred form of treatment for pharmaceuticals and cytotoxic wastes than incineration.

The Princess Margaret Hospital does not generate cytotoxic waste. However, as provision of healthcare services may change in the future, capacity to treat all potential healthcare wastes on Tuvalu should be considered and factored into the decision making process.

#### 7.2.2 Treatment Investment Options for Princess Margaret Hospital

Tuvalu has an incinerator that is a basic model and while temperatures achieved would ensure "sterilisation" of the healthcare waste, it is not suitable for other wastes such pharmaceutical waste.

This incinerator is located at the landfill and this is most appropriate as (a) emissions do not impact on any residences/community and (b) is a central location for waste management on Tuvalu.

While discussions with personnel on Tuvalu did not indicate that there were other wastes types that would/could be processed through an incinerator, there is quarantine waste (small quantities), that could be processed.

A medium temperature incinerator would treat the current types and quantities of healthcare waste generated on Tuvalu, but there is a need to be forward-thinking to ensure that such a treatment technology is capable of meeting any changes in healthcare service delivery. Also, Tuvalu has ratified the Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs) which requires some form of consideration given to BAT/BEP [Best Available Technology/Best Environment Practice] - essentially meaning a low POPs technology like high temperature incineration or autoclave.

#### 7.2.2.1 Possible Additional Waste Management Issues

In discussions with personnel from the Hospital and SWAT, no additional waste sources/quantities were identified. The only potential source would be minimal quantities of quarantine waste.

In the short-medium timeframe, in addition to the simple wood fired incinerator currently in use, the donated wood fired/solar powered incinerator should be commissioned to determine its operating capacity – such as temperature and throughput.

Table 8 determines 'intervention' options that are suggested to improve treatment of healthcare waste in Tuvalu. Shading in green indicates where investment is proposed, while orange shading shows where a technology consideration is also relevant.

Table 8: Technology Options Applicable for Princess Margaret Hospital			
Remaining Technology Options	Technology Applicability		
Incineration at high temperature (>1000°C)	Preferable treatment option due to the types of healthcare waste generated on Tuvalu and applicability for managing other waste types		
Incineration at med. temperature (800 - 1000°C)	Acceptable, but nor preferred option as would limit what could be processed and an option for these wastes would need to be provided.		
Disinfection & Encapsulation (only sharps assessed)	A short term solution. While this waste type is currently processed via the incinerator at present, concrete encapsulation of these disinfected sharps in a metal drum, and subsequent burial would be appropriate – due to potential scavenging at the landfill.		
Autoclave with shredder	Not cost-effective for Tuvalu at present as a higher level of incineration required		

Table 8: Technology Options Applicable for Princess Margaret Hospital		
Remaining Technology Options	Technology Applicability	
Low temperature burning (<400°C)	Not applicable to Tuvalu due to the types of healthcare waste generated	

Timing considerations for these options, in the context of other (non-treatment) options, is provided in Section 8 (Recommendations).

#### 8 Recommendations

The following section outlines recommendations and a proposed implementation plan for each recommendation to achieve sustainable management of healthcare waste in Tuvalu. Further details and guidance on each recommendation are provided in **Appendix E**.

Table 9 provides a summary of the recommendations for Tuvalu.

In terms of relative priorities of the five recommendations, they are all similar, based on the deficiencies addressed against the minimum standards framework. They are also interrelated, for example: segregation practices cannot be sustainably improved without the requirements and responsibility of the waste management framework; which in turn cannot be turned into active policies and procedures without the understanding and reinforcement that comes from training/ auditing. Effective treatment and use of PPE cannot be sustained without the reinforcement of training, effective segregation and the procedures and monitoring spelled out in the waste management framework.

However, the staggered timing of actions required to implement the recommendations, as outlined in section 8.1, and their different short, medium and long term approaches give an indication of priority of the recommendation actions themselves.

Table 9: F	Recommendations for Tuvalu
Recomme	ndation 1: Develop a Waste Management Framework
Description	<ul> <li>A Healthcare Waste Management Plan, specific to Princess Margaret Hospital, as well as relevant other healthcare services located on other islands</li> <li>Appoint an officer responsible for the development and implementation of the</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Healthcare Waste Management Plan</li> <li>A waste management committee.</li> </ul>
Output	<ul> <li>An agreed Healthcare Waste Management Plan, outlining procedures and guidelines, waste definitions and characterisation, segregation techniques, containment specifications and storage practices, collection and transport, treatment and disposal and emergency procedures</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Accountability for healthcare waste management through clearly defined roles and responsibilities</li> </ul>
Monitoring &	Plan approved by Ministry of Health
Evaluation Indicators	<ul> <li>Approved budget for implementation of Healthcare Waste Management Plan</li> <li>The Plan should be regularly monitored, reviewed, revised and updated.</li> <li>Annual assessment of 'Responsible Officer's' or Waste Management Committees' performance against key healthcare waste management competencies.</li> </ul>
Costs (\$US)	<ul> <li>Establishment – Low if document drafting assistance is provided</li> <li>Ongoing – Low</li> </ul>

Table 9: F	Table 9: Recommendations for Tuvalu			
Recomme	ndation 2: Procurement of Consumables (Segregation & Storage)			
Description	<ul> <li>Supply of colour-coded waste bins and plastic liners in quantities sufficient to serve all wards/departments for a period of time sufficient to allow bedding down of the segregation process.</li> <li>Supply of small number of colour-coded wheelie bins (where required) to act as both in-ward/department storage and internal transport trolleys.</li> <li>Supply of signage to explain the colour-coded segregation system as well as posters to promote it.</li> </ul>			
Output	Adequate supply of consumables to bed down more rigorous segregation practices			
Monitoring & Evaluation Indicators	<ul> <li>Wastes are segregated at their place of production.</li> <li>Infection wastes, general wastes and used sharps are stored in separate colour coded containers and locations within medical areas.</li> <li>Zero Needle Stick Injuries.</li> </ul>			
Costs (\$US)	Establishment – Low; Ongoing - Low, sustainably funded by country			
Recomme	ndation 3: Provision of a Sustainable Training Program			
Description	<ul> <li>A structured waste management education/awareness program is developed and delivered to all stakeholders (including non-hospital employees)</li> <li>SPREP staff, or outside trainers, or a combination of both, could assist in the improvement of the training program and developing ways to incentivise staff to participate and comply with learning outcomes.</li> <li>Training should be coordinated with other countries' needs in the region.</li> </ul>			
Output	<ul> <li>Delivery of a structured healthcare waste training program to all hospital personnel as well as personnel from other stakeholders (e.g., government health and environment agencies)</li> <li>Improvement of personnel skills and competency in managing healthcare waste</li> <li>Promotion of the advantages of sustainable segregation and storage techniques for the different waste streams and an understanding of the health and safety risks resulting from the mismanagement risks of healthcare waste.</li> </ul>			
Monitoring & Evaluation Indicators	<ul> <li>Competency Assessments</li> <li>Refresher Training</li> <li>No/very little cross contamination between waste streams demonstrated by waste audits.</li> </ul>			
Costs (\$US)	Establishment – Medium; Ongoing - Low			

Recomme	ndation 4: Improved Treatment Infrastructure
Description	A technology is in place that ensures healthcare waste are treated to a standard that avoids adverse impacts to the environment and human health  Procurement of a <b>new</b> high temperature incinerator for Princess Margaret Hospital, located off-site, with a maintenance support contract
Output	A treatment system that reduces the potential hazard posed by health-care waste, while endeavouring to protect the environment.
Monitoring & Evaluation Indicators	Assessment of the following should be regularly undertaken for new and existing incinerators:  Operations and construction (e.g. pre-heating and not overloading the incinerator and incinerating at temperatures above 800°C only)  Maintenance program – are maintenance issues dealt with promptly?  Ensure burn times are sufficient to reduce waste ash volumes
Costs (\$US)	<ul> <li>Establishment – High \$50,000 for a small high-temperature unit, including housing and commissioning costs;</li> <li>Ongoing – medium (fuel and maintenance)</li> </ul>
Recomme	ndation 5: Procurement of Consumables (PPE)
Description	<ul> <li>Supply appropriate PPE including overalls/protective clothing, gloves and eye protection for all waste handlers.</li> <li>Incinerator staffs are provided with additional PPE such as face masks and noise protection.</li> </ul>
Output	Adequate supply of PPE for protection of waste handlers
Monitoring & Evaluation Indicators	<ul> <li>PPE is provided to all staff and staff are aware on how to protect themselves from injuries and infectious wastes</li> <li>Zero Needle Stick Injuries.</li> </ul>
Costs (\$US)	Establishment – Low; Ongoing - Low, sustainably funded by country
Recomme	ndation 6: Upgrade of Storage Area
Description	<ul> <li>The storage area of healthcare waste before disposal does not meet minimum standards for storage; it can be accessed by members of the public.</li> <li>There also needs to be a storage area located at the landfill for healthcare waste</li> </ul>
Output	<ul> <li>Storage areas are fenced, lockable, suitably designed and isolated from patients and the public.</li> </ul>
Monitoring & Evaluation	<ul> <li>Suitability of storage areas frequently assessed by the 'responsible officer' to ensure that it is locked and appropriately signed.</li> </ul>

Table 9: Recommendations for Tuvalu	
Indicators	
Costs (\$US)	<ul> <li>Establishment – Low (procurement of an actual storage structure, signage and spill kit)</li> <li>Ongoing – Low</li> </ul>

#### 8.1 Implementation Priorities

#### 8.1.1 Recommendation 1: Develop a Waste Management Framework

- 1. Develop a **Healthcare Waste Management Plan** specific to the Hospital and other healthcare services on other islands, including technical guidelines and procedures relating to waste management and if not already present, infection control.
- 2. Appoint an **officer responsible** for the development and implementation of the Healthcare Waste Management Plan

A **Healthcare Waste Management Plan**, specific to the Hospital outlining waste definitions and characterisation, segregation techniques, containment specifications and storage practices, collection and transport, treatment and disposal and emergency procedures should be developed as an overarching document to guide healthcare waste management processes and procedures at each healthcare facility.

The Management Plan should be developed in liaison with other stakeholders, to ensure policy and legislative needs are considered.

A responsible officer or **waste management officer** would be responsible for the day-to-day operations and monitoring of the waste management system. It is important that the waste management officer be adequately resourced to enable them to undertake their role as well as supported by hospital management to ensure that all staff recognise the importance of adopting waste management practices that are in accord with all requirements.

A waste management committee has representatives from a broad range of departments and meets at least twice per year. A clear set of objectives should be developed for this committee. It reports to the senior management of the hospital.

#### 8.1.1.1 Short Term (0-6 months)

- Identify existing documents and systems that may have been used in the past
- Responsible officer and healthcare waste management committee established.
- Definitions of responsibilities and key accountabilities of responsible officers and Waste Management Committee developed for inclusion in Waste Management Plan.

#### 8.1.1.2 Medium Term (6 months-1 year)

 Formulate a Draft Waste Management Plan drawing on the results of this 'Baseline Assessment' (i.e. present situation, quantities of waste generated, possibilities for waste minimization, identification of treatment options, identification and evaluation of waste-treatment and disposal options, identification and evaluation of record keeping and documentation and estimations of costs relating to waste management)

• The draft discussion document would be prepared in consultation with hospital staff, and officials from the relevant government agencies.

#### 8.1.1.3 Long Term (1year-3 years)

- Finalise the Waste Management Framework
- Continually improve the mandatory standards of healthcare waste management
- Implement a program to ensure waste audits are conducted of all waste
  materials/systems in all wards/departments on an annual basis and reports are
  provided to the waste management committee. Effective systems are in place to
  ensure that any non-conformances (with the hospital waste management strategy) are
  remedied.

## 8.1.2 Recommendation 2: Procurement of Consumables (Segregation & Storage)

Waste should be collected in accordance with the schedules specified in the Waste Management Plan (Recommendation One). The correct segregation of healthcare waste is the responsibility of the person who produces each waste item, whatever their position in the organisation. The healthcare facility is responsible for making sure there is a suitable segregation, transport and storage system, and that all staff adhere to the correct procedures. Labelling of waste containers is used to identify the source, record their type and quantities of waste produced in each area, and allow problems with waste segregation to be traced back to individual wards/departments.

#### 8.1.2.1 Short Term (0-6 months)

- Procurement of in-hospital healthcare waste management consumables including:
  - Colour coded bins and bin liners
  - Classification and segregation signage as well as instructional posters to promote good healthcare waste management practices (all hospitals)
- Procurement plan developed to ensure the sustainable supply of healthcare waste management resources.

#### 8.1.2.2 Medium Term (6 months-1 year)

As per short term above.

#### 8.1.2.3 Long Term (1-3 years)

Consumables to be supplied from in-country health agency budgets.

#### 8.1.3 Recommendation 3: Provision of a Sustainable Training Program

Development and delivery of a structured healthcare waste training program to all hospital personnel as well as personnel from other stakeholders (e.g., government health and environment agencies).

This could be facilitated/ delivered by SPREP staff, or outside trainers, or a combination of both, as no competent healthcare waste management training capability exists in Tuvalu.

Training should be coordinated with other countries' needs in the region.

All staff and contractors should attend a waste management training session. This is to be conducted during all induction programs in the first instance. For those staff and contractors currently employed on-site, they will be required to attend a dedicated training session so that they are fully aware of their roles and responsibilities in respect to waste management. Records shall be maintained of all staff and contractors attendance at a training session to ensure that all personnel attend.

#### 8.1.3.1 Short Term (0-6 months)

- · Identify potential trainers and build training skills
- Develop a budget for long term training delivery
- Identification and prioritization of employees that need to be trained
- Defining the specific learning objectives for each target audience
- Develop a detailed curriculum specifying the training plan for each session.

#### 8.1.3.2 Medium Term (6 months-1 year)

• Explore incentives for training (e.g. training in collaboration with a health professional society or university that can award certificates or professional credentials)

#### 8.1.3.3 Long Term (1 year-3 years)

- Continually improve the mandatory standards of healthcare waste management
- A continuing audit program be implemented to identify incorrect waste management practices and results of such audits communicated to staff in all wards/departments.
   Results from these audits and corrective actions to be reported to the facility waste management committee

#### 8.1.4 Recommendation 4: Improved Treatment Infrastructure

Improve the current wood-fired low/ medium temperature incineration process by:

<u>Option 1</u> – Commission and the ascertain the operating parameters for the donated wood fired/solar powered incinerator

<u>Option 2</u> - Investigate the feasibility of the procurement of a new high temperature incinerator for Princess Margaret Hospital, located at the landfill, with maintenance support contract

#### (a) Short Term (0-6 months)

- Ensure sharps and other infectious wastes are <u>burnt</u> immediately when transported to the landfill
- The wood fired/solar powered incinerator should be commissioned as a matter of immediate priority. It is recommended to provide financial assistance to commission the incinerator at the landfill and to ensure that appropriate housing is also constructed for the incinerator to prevent public access and corrosion

#### (b) Medium Term (6 months-1 year)

- Accurately measure waste generation data to determine required capacity of any new infrastructure
- Investigate the feasibility of procurement of a new incinerator this is likely to be a
  medium sized, two chamber, high temperature incinerator. Key considerations with
  such a purchase are: capacity, purchase cost, operating costs, ease of operation,
  durability and life span.
  - A critical aspect to purchase of an incinerator in the Tuvalu situation is the inclusion of a supplier support and maintenance contract.

#### (c) Long Term (1-3 years)

- Feasibility analysis withstanding, start the process of procurement of a new high temperature incinerator or autoclave/ shredder – one that is sized according to combined waste throughput
  - A critical aspect to purchase of infrastructure in the Pacific situation generally is the inclusion of a supplier support and maintenance contract.
  - Key considerations with such a purchase are: capacity, purchase cost, operating costs, ease of operation, durability and life span.
- Procure, install and commission new infrastructure, with supplier support and maintenance contract.
- Recording of waste treatment quantities and operating conditions (e.g. burn temperatures per batch)
- Maintain training of operators as required.

#### 8.1.5 Recommendation 5: Procurement of Consumables (PPE)

All waste handlers are provided with and use appropriate PPE including overalls/protective clothing, gloves and eye protection. Incinerator staff are provided with additional PPE such as face masks and noise protection.

#### 8.1.5.1 Short Term (0-6 months)

- Procurement of in-hospital healthcare waste management PPE including overalls/protective clothing, gloves and eye protection
- Incinerator staff are provided with additional PPE such as face masks and noise protection
- Procurement plan developed to ensure the sustainable supply of healthcare waste management resources.

#### 8.1.5.2 Medium Term (6 months-1 year)

A system is set up to monitor correct use of PPE.

#### 8.1.5.3 Long Term (1-3 years)

Nil

#### 8.1.6 Recommendation 7: Upgrade Storage Facility

The healthcare waste storage area should be locked, and isolated from patients and the public.

#### 8.1.6.1 Short Term (0-6 months)

• Upgrade the storage area to include appropriate signage, fencing and a lockable door.

#### 8.1.6.2 Medium Term (6 months-1 year)

· Procure a spill containment kit for the storage area.

#### 8.1.6.3 Long Term (1-3 years)

 Implement an ongoing healthcare waste facilities audit program to monitor the suitability of central storage areas

Appendix A

**Photo Log** 



Photo 5: An example of one bin type for healthcare waste at Princess Margaret Hospital (taken 22/05/2014 by Trevor Thornton)



Photo 6: Another example of a healthcare waste bin, Princess Margaret Hospital (taken 22/05/2014 by Trevor Thornton)



Photo 7: Sharps deposited into one container – note it is overfilled at Princess Margaret Hospital (taken 22/05/2014 by Trevor Thornton)



Photo 8: Another example of a sharps container at Princess Margaret Hospital (taken 22/05/2014 by Trevor Thornton



Photo 5: General waste in a healthcare waste bin at Princess Margaret Hospital (taken 22/05/2014 by Trevor Thornton)



Photo 6: The healthcare (and general) waste storage area at Princess Margaret Hospital (taken 22/05/2014 by Trevor Thornton)



Photo 7: The incinerator located at the landfill on Tuvalu (taken 22/05/2014 by Trevor Thornton)



Photo 8: Example of ash and needles located on the ground at the landfill (taken 22/05/2014 by Trevor Thornton



Photo 9: Another example of ash and untreated healthcare waste at the landfill (taken 22/05/2014 by Trevor Thornton)



Photo 10: The wood fired/solar powered incinerator after it had been taken to the landfill – it has not been commissioned (taken 22/05/2014 by Trevor Thornton)

# Appendix B

**Collected Data from Hospital Audit in Tuvalu** 

Table B1: Collected Data from Hospital Audit in Tuvalu

HOSPITAL	Region	•	Funafuti		
DETAILS	Facility Name	Hospital Name	Princess Margaret Hospital		
	& Contact Information	Contact Name & Position	Avanoa Homasi Paelate Health Education Public Health Unit Ministry of Health	on & Promotion Officer	
		Email	mysabs@yahoo.com		
		Phone	(688) 20480 ext 216, w (688) 20989, 900	) 066 h	
	Key Services		Primary healthcare, emergency care, su	rgery, ICU, radiography,	
	Data	Summary of Services Provided	inpatient, obstetric and maternity, outpat health services		
		Pop Served	11,000		
		No. of Beds	50		
		OBD's <sup>1</sup>	100%		
			18,250		
		No. Operations	Not Provided		
		No. of Births			
		Emergency Patients Attended	11,000		
		Out-Patients Attended <sup>2</sup>	50		
WASTE	Waste Steams	No of Staff	100%		
MANAGEMENT	Managed				
PROCESS		Estimates	Volumes (kg/wk)	Cost ext. (\$US)	
			40		
		Healthcare Waste Not		Not Measured	
		Sharps		Not Measured	
		Pharmaceutical	Not Measured NA	Not Measured Not Measured	
		Cytotoxic General	Not Measured	Not Measured  Not Measured	
		Recycling	Not Measured  Not Measured	Not Measured	
		TOTAL	50		
	Generation &	Dedicated Containers/ Bags	Y		
	Segregation	Colour Coding	Y		
		Sharps segregated & secure	Y – 'Some Overfilling'		
		Signage Present	N		
	Internal Handling	Degree of manual handling of bags	High		
	9	Internal Transport Mode	Trolley/MGB		
		Spill Kit Present	N		
	Storage	Dedicated & Appropriate Area	N		
		Loading/unloading acceptable	N		
		Spill Kits Present	N		
		Monitoring & record keeping			
	Treatment	Occurs	N Took Time	last/Cost	
	Headineill	Treatment per Waste Stream	Tech. Type	Int/Ext	
		Healthcare Waste	Burn Offsite	External	
		Sharps	Burn Offsite	External	
		Pharmaceutical	Burn Offsite /Landfill (w/o treatment)	External	
		Cytotoxic	NA	NA	
		General	Landfill (without treatment)	External	

		If incinerator present				
		Make, Model, Year				
		commissioned	Not Kno	own		
		Operating Temp (°C)	Not able to be	e measured		
		No. chambers	1			
		Condition	Reasonable			
		Comments	In addition to the wood fired incir Princess Margaret Hospital has donated (Japanese donor) – it is a fired incinerator with solar power manufacturer states it can achie constructed since the donation a shifted to the landfill dur	nerator located at the landfill, is an incinerator, which was a 2 chambered Samara Wood wer for the blower and the eve ~900°C. It has not been and stored at the hospital, but		
			Per week	Per year		
		Waste Throughput (tonnes)	Not Known	Not Known		
		Operating Hours (hr)	Conducts one burn Th	ursday and Friday		
		Fuel	Woo	d		
		Fuel use (kg/litres)	Unknov	wn		
		Fuel use per kg waste burnt	Unkno	wn		
		Technology siting and operation issues	Good – Sited a	t the landfill		
		Officito transport assessment	Fair			
WASTE	Waste	Offsite transport assessment Waste Management Policy	N			
MANAGEMENT	Management	Waste Management Plan	N N			
FRAMEWORK	Documents	Waste Management				
		Procedure	N			
		Waste Management Committee	N			
Infection		Infection Control Policy	Not sited			
	Control	Infection Control Procedures Not sited				
	Auditing and	Audit Program	N			
	Record Keeping	What is audited	Segregation	N		
	Recping		Compliance P&P	N		
			Int. transport	N		
			Storage	N		
			Treatment/ disposal	N		
		Frequency	N			
	Training	Training Program	N			
		Curricula	Infection Control	N		
			Waste Mgt	N		
			PPE	N		
			Treat. Tech operation	N		
		Duration / frequency of training	Infrequ	ent		
		Records of who has been trained	No			
		Monitoring or refresher	140			
	_	courses	No			
PROJECTED ISSUES	Forecasting	10 year projections for waste management	None pro	vided		
		Barriers to change	None except for resources			
		Other issues				
LOCAL		Potential in-country contractors	Who	Key Capability		
CONTRACTORS			None available			

Appendix C

**Minimum Standards Assessment** 

Scale	Category	Item	Minimum Standard Criterion	Princess Margaret Hospital
National Authority	National Legislation	Definitions	A clear definition of hazardous health- care wastes and its various categories has been developed and used by generators.	
National Authority	National Legislation	Annual Compliance Reporting	Hospitals required to annually report on waste generation and management	
	National Legislation	Technical Guidelines	Practical and directly applicable technical guidelines	
National Authority	Regulations	Annual Compliance Reporting		
National Authority	Policy	National health-care waste management plan	A national strategy for management of healthcare waste has been published and is up to date (ie., within 5 years) and hospitals required to adhere to its requirements	
Healthcare Facility	Policy	Infection Control	Infection control policy incorporates principles of waste management within it	
Healthcare Facility	Policy	Waste Management Plan	Has been developed by the hospital and is based on a review of healthcare waste management and is current (within 5 years)	
Healthcare Facility	Responsible Person		An officer has been appointed to assume responsibility for waste management within the hospital, and has been allocated sufficient time and resources - this person could have waste management as part of other duties	
Healthcare Facility	Management Committee		A waste management committee has been formed that has representatives from a broad range of departments and meets at least twice per year. A clear set of objectives has been developed for this committee. It reports to the senior management of the hospital.	
Healthcare Facility	Signage		Signs are located in all wards/department areas where waste bins are located indicating the correct container for the various waste types	
Healthcare Facility	Segregation		Waste are correctly segregated in all wards/departments with use of containers that are colour coded for the different waste types	
Healthcare Facility	Containers		All areas have dedicated waste containers are suitable for the types of waste generated. All waste containers are colour coded and have correct wording on them. Sharps are deposited into containers that reduce potential for needle-stick injury	
Healthcare Facility	Storage	Interim storage in healthcare facility	Waste is stored in a hygienic and safe manner in all wards/departments	
		Storage before treatment	Meets the standards stated in Appendix E, Recommendation 2, <i>Correct Storage</i> .	
Healthcare Facility	Internal Handling	Transport Trolley	A dedicated trolley is used for waste transport. The trolley is designed so that any spills are contained.	

Scale	Category	Item	Minimum Standard Criterion	Princess Margaret Hospital	
	Internal Handling	Routing	Healthcare waste is not transported where clean linen and/or food are transported		
Healthcare Facility	Training	Planning and implementation	A structured waste management education program has been developed with a clear delivery structure		
Healthcare Facility	Training	Curricula	A structured waste management training program has been developed that targets the different roles within the hospitals.		
Healthcare Facility	Training	Follow-up & refresher courses	All staff receive waste management education during induction. All staff receive refresher training annually. Waste management training is delivered following an adverse incident to the relevant staff/ward/department.		
Healthcare Facility	Training	Training responsibility	A hospital officer has responsibility for ensuring all training occurs as required and that records are maintained of all training and attendance.		
Healthcare Facility	Waste Audits		A program has been implemented to ensure waste audits are conducted of all waste materials/systems in all wards/departments on an annual basis and reports are provided to the waste management committee. Effective systems are in place to ensure that any non-conformances (with the hospital waste management strategy) are remedied.		
Healthcare Facility	Transport - External		A dedicated vehicle is used to transport untreated healthcare waste. This load carrying area of the vehicle is enclosed and constructed so that any spilt material is contained within this area. A split kit is provided.		
Healthcare Facility	Treatment	Suitability of treatment for healthcare waste	The method for treating healthcare waste is in accord with required standards - this includes operating parameters and location of the treatment unit.		
Healthcare Facility	Economics	Cost Effectiveness	A process has been developed that cost all aspects of waste management and these costs are reported annually to the waste management committee.		
Healthcare Facility	Occupational Health and Safety	PPE	All waste handlers are provided with and use appropriate PPE including overalls/protective clothing, gloves and eye protection. Incinerator staff are provided with additional PPE such as face masks and noise protection. A system is in place to monitor correct use of PPE.		
Healthcare Facility	Occupational Health and Safety	Staff risk	Waste containers, locations, storage and management procedures for healthcare waste incorporate identified risks to staff in accessing the waste and/or having needle-stick injuries.		
Healthcare Facility	Occupational Health and Safety	Patient/Visitor risk	Waste containers, locations, storage and management procedures for healthcare waste incorporate identified risks to patients and visitors in accessing the waste and/or having		

HEALTHCARE WASTE - MINIMUM STANDARDS FRAMEWORK & ASSESSMENT FOR TUVALU								
Scale	Category	ltem	Minimum Standard Criterion	Princess Margaret Hospital				
Healthcare Facility	Healthcare waste management emergencies	Spill Prevention and Control	Spill kits are provided or all types of healthcare waste in all wards/departments, storage areas and on trolleys and vehicles. Staff are trained on the use of spill kits. All incidents of spills of healthcare waste are investigated and where appropriate remedial actions implemented.					
Healthcare Facility	Future Planning	Planning for change	Hospitals have developed a process to benchmark waste generation so as to (amongst other requirements), plan of future hospital development in terms of services and numbers of patients.					
Local Council	Waste Treatment Facility	Landfill	Healthcare waste is disposed of at a dedicated location and covered immediately on arrival. Scavengers cannot access untreated healthcare waste.					

<sup>\*</sup> The minimum standards framework is drawn from the *Industry code of practice for the management of biohazardous waste (including clinical and related) wastes*, Waste Management Association of Australia (2014), Draft 7th edition, taking into account the Pacific Island hospital and environmental context.

**Appendix D** 

**Qualitative Local Feasibility Assessment – Treatment Technology** 

Table D1: C	Table D1: QUALITATIVE Treatment Technology Options Assessment - Local Feasibility (Tuvalu)									
Remaining Technology	Comparatively low cost to	Comparative effectiveness				Local F	easibility			
Options low cost to implement	across all HCWs	Health & safety to workers & community	Sustainability of solution	Institutional and policy fit	Cultural fit	Implementation barriers can be overcome?	Receiving environment not impacted	Durability	Ease of operation	
Incineration at high temperature (>1000°C)	\$211,460 USD over 10 years (ref Whole of Project – Summary Report, Appendix E)	Most effective  – can treat all waste types and achieves complete sterilization, complete combustion and destroys waste	Some issues for operators (requires training & PPE); some potential issues for community (low potential for smoke, controlled emissions)	Equipment lifespan ~ 10 years plus; sustainability dependant on maintaining operator skills plus proper operation and maintenance	No legal barriers to incineration; loses a point for potential for smoke nuisance and the potential for minor contribution to combustion derived POPs – Tuvalu is a party to Stockholm	Incinerators are/ have been previously used in hospitals here.	Equipment breakdown and lack of local skills to maintain equipment – real barrier but can be managed through skills training & supplier support	Emissions of air pollutants and leaching from ash disposal to receiving environment are potential impacts. High temp operation minimises pollution & proper landfilling of ash restricts leaching.	Equipment lifespan ~ 10 years plus but will only last if maintained. High temperature equipment is prone to require a moderate level of maintenance	Requires skilled operators but modern equipment combined with training simplify operation
Incineration at med. temperature (800 - 1000°C)	\$69,820 USD over 10 years (ref Whole of Project – Summary	Can treat most waste types, achieves complete sterilization,	Some issues for operators (requires training & PPE);	Equipment lifespan ~ 5 years; sustainability dependant on	No legal barriers to incineration; potential for smoke	Incinerators are/ have been previously used in	Equipment breakdown and lack of local skills to maintain equipment – real	Emissions of air pollutants/ smoke and leaching from ash disposal to	Equipment lifespan typically less ~ 5 years but will only last if	Requires less skilled operators than high temperature
	Report,	incomplete	potential	maintaining	nuisance is	hospitals	barrier but can be	receiving	maintained.	equipment -

Remaining Technology	Comparatively low cost to	Comparative effectiveness across all HCWs	Local Feasibility								
Options	implement		Health & safety to workers & community	Sustainability of solution	Institutional and policy fit	Cultural fit	Implementation barriers can be overcome?	Receiving environment not impacted	Durability	Ease of operation	
	Appendix E)	combustion,	issues for	operator skills	med - high and	here.	managed through	environment	Equipment is	training	
		may not	community	plus proper	the potential for		skills training &	are potential	prone to	simplifies	
		destroy	(smoke,	operation and	contribution to		supplier support.	impacts. Med.	require a	operation	
		needles	emissions not	maintenance	combustion		Simpler	temperature	moderate		
			fully		derived POPs		infrastructure than	operation	level of		
			controlled)		& other		HTI	increases risks	maintenance		
					pollutants is			of air pollution.			
					high – Tuvalu is						
					a party to						
					Stockholm &						
					NIP specifically						
					requires						
					BAT/BEP						
					consideration						
					for 'medical						
					waste'						
OW	\$6,485 USD	Not applicable	Some issues	No equipment;	Potential for		No equipment	Emissions of		Simple, ze	
emperature eurning	over 10 years	for all waste	for operators	sustainability	smoke		operation	air pollutants/	Simple, zero	technology	
<400°C)	(ref Whole of	types,	(requires	dependant	nuisance is	May be	reliability barrier;	smoke and	technology so	so there is	
	Project –	relatively high	training &	government &	very high and	unacceptable	however expect	leaching from	there is	nothing th	
	Summary	disinfection	PPE); issues	community	the potential for	to community	barrier from	ash disposal to	nothing that	can break	
	Report,	efficiency,	for community	acceptance	contribution to	depending	community	receiving	can break	down and	
	Appendix E)	incomplete	(smoke,	which would be	combustion	on siting	depending on	environment.	down	specific	
		combustion,	emissions not	expected to	derived POPs		siting	Low temp	down	training is	
		will not destroy	controlled at	decline with	& broader		Sitting	operation		required	

Remaining Technology	Comparatively low cost to	to effectiveness	Local Feasibility							
	implement		Health & safety to workers & community	Sustainability of solution	Institutional and policy fit	Cultural fit	Implementation barriers can be overcome?	Receiving environment not impacted	Durability	Ease of operation
		needles	all)	time	range of other			provides no		other than
					pollutants is			controls on air		health and
					very high –			pollution. Risk		safety.
					Tuvalu is a			of fire impact.		
					party to Stockholm					
Autoclave with shredder	\$158,000 USD over 10 years (ref Whole of Project – Summary Report, Appendix E)	Cannot treat all waste types, achieves complete sterilization when correctly operated, no combustion required, shredder destroys needles	Some issues for operators (requires training & PPE); small potential for odours and wastewater discharge .	Equipment lifespan ~ 10 years; sustainability dependant on maintaining operator skills plus longevity of equipment use could be an issue given technology complexity	No legal barriers; no potential for smoke; some potential for odour; no air pollution (no combustion-POPs) and some potential for waste water discharge issues	Not familiar with use of sterilisers for waste — potential community issue with waste appearance if steriliser not operated correctly or shredder not used	Equipment breakdown and lack of local skills to maintain equipment – real barrier but can be managed through skills training & supplier support. Increased complexity of equipment (compared to incineration) increases barrier	No emissions of air pollutants/ smoke; some potential for odour impacts; still requires landfill disposal of residue so some potential for leaching on burial. Some potential for waste water management issues	Equipment will only last if maintained. Adding shredder to autoclave technology increases mechanical parts that can go wrong. May require moderate level of maintenance	Requires skilled operators t achieve be level of disinfection
Encapsulation	Virtually zero	Not applicable	Encapsulation	No equipment;	No legal	No barriers		Encapsulation	Highly	Simple
only post-	additional cost	to non-sharps	has handling	sustainability	barriers; no	were	No barriers were	itself poses no	durable due	procedure
disinfection	to disinfection	waste.	issues for	dependant	smoke	identified	identified	smoke	to its	once

Remaining Technology Options Comparatively low cost to implement	Comparatively	Comparative effectiveness									
	across all HCWs	Health & safety to workers & community	Sustainability of solution	Institutional and policy fit	Cultural fit	Implementation barriers can be overcome?	Receiving environment not impacted	Durability	Ease of operation		
sharps	system costs	In the context	operators	burial space	nuisance; no			nuisance; no	simplicity.	operator	
assessed)		of pre-	(requires	available.	odour			odour		understands	
		sterilised	training &	Quantities are	nuisance; no			nuisance; no		and	
		sharps only:	PPE) and no	very small in	air pollution			air pollution		manages the	
		no combustion	community	Tuvalu.	and some			and some		risk of	
		required and	issues		potential for			potential for		sharps	
		completely			leachate to			leachate to		handling and	
		removes			groundwater,			groundwater,		knows how	
		downstream			although limited			although limited		to mix	
		needle injury			inherent hazard			inherent hazard		cement	
		risk								correctly.	

# Legend: Descriptions equate to the following scores:

1. very low agreement with feasibility criteria
2. low agreement with feasibility criteria
3. moderate agreement with feasibility criteria
4. high agreement with feasibility criteria
5. very high agreement with feasibility criteria

Appendix E

**Recommendation Guidelines** 

# Recommendation 1: Develop a Waste Management Framework

### **Healthcare Waste Management Plan**

Hospital waste management plans should incorporate strategic objectives of the national medical waste management strategy as well as the following information:

- Location and organisation of collection and storage facilities
- Overview of the purpose of, and design specifications:
  - Drawing showing the type of waste container to be used in the wards and departments (eg., sizes, colours and wording)
  - Drawing illustrating the type of trolley or wheeled container to be used for bag collection
  - Minimum specifications of sharps containers
- Required Material and human resources
- Responsibilities:
  - Including definitions of responsibilities, duties and codes of practice for each of the different categories of personnel of the hospital who, through their daily work, will generate waste and be involved in the segregation, storage and handling of the waste.
  - Definitions of responsibilities of hospital attendants and ancillary staff in collecting and handling wastes, for each ward and department.
- Procedures and practices
- Training
  - Description of the training courses and programs to be set up and the personnel who should participate in each.
- Implementation Strategy

It is important that it also is compatible with any National Waste Management Strategies to ensure consistency of approaches such as with external transport and disposal of treated residues.

### **Appointment of a Responsible Officer**

A responsible officer or waste management officer would be responsible for the day-to-day operations and monitoring of the waste-management system and is usually established as a separate post in larger hospitals (however, one appointee could be responsible for the waste management performance for a number of hospitals with a stated time fraction allocated to each hospital).

It is important that the waste management officer be adequately resourced to enable them to undertake their role as well as supported by Hospital management to ensure that all staff recognise the importance of adopting waste management practices that are in accord with all requirements.

### **Appointment of a Waste Management Committee**

A waste management committee should also be established to provide guidance and support to the waste management officer and assist in implementation of developed actions. In larger hospitals, a separate waste management committee should be formed. For smaller hospitals, such a committee could be either part of the responsibility of another related committee (eg., infection control or quality assurance), or a sub-committee reporting back to this related committee.

This Committee should not necessarily undertake all activities themselves, but by the nature of the members and the professions/departments represented will ensure that there is a balanced approach to the investigations and analysis to ensure that patient and staff safety will not be compromised.

In addition, the Committee approach will enable advocates for such factors as environmental and economic performance to be heard in a balanced manner.

Waste Management Committee Members should serve for a minimum period of 2 years, with the option of reappointment.

The Waste Management Committee will work with hospital staff, stakeholders and the wider community to develop a culture of environmentally responsible waste management through information sharing and education.

Its members will ensure that waste management issues are considered on committees that deal with product evaluation, infection control and occupational health and safety, and in user groups such as Unit/Department Managers.

The Waste Management Committee should:

- Develop a waste management policy that meets current environmental legislation "due diligence" requirements. This policy is to include strategic directions for correct waste minimisation and management.
- Ensure that the hospital is meeting due-diligence requirements as specified by the Waste Management Team.
- Develop and implement a system to document waste and recyclable quantities on a spreadsheet to evaluate these quantities and therefore the waste minimisation programs that have been implemented, ensuring the results are circulated to all Unit managers/department managers on a regular basis.
- Review and submit subsequent reporting to Unit managers/department managers of the results of all implemented programs and trials.
- Work on implementing the most appropriate waste minimisation/management recommendations as agreed with hospital management and the Waste Management Team.

- Target in order the waste items that are contributing the most significant quantities of waste being generated and in particular waste segregation methods.
- Agree on the Waste Reduction targets for the hospital and outline the key objectives of the committee
- Review current work and waste management practices and develop waste management/minimisation initiatives.
- Conduct mini audits to review progress.
- Visually inspect waste and recycling containers to ascertain if staff are depositing appropriate items into them.

## Recommendation 2: Procurement of Consumables (Segregation & Storage)

The correct segregation of healthcare waste is the responsibility of the person who produces each waste item, regardless of their position in the organisation. The healthcare facility is responsible for making sure there is a suitable segregation, transport and storage system, and that all staff adheres to the correct procedures.

Ideally, the same system of segregation should be in force throughout a country, and many countries have national legislation that prescribes the waste segregation categories to be used and a system of colour coding for waste containers. Colour coding makes it easier for medical staff and hospital workers to put waste items into the correct container, and to maintain segregation of the wastes during transport, storage, treatment and disposal. Colour coding also provides visual identification of the potential risk posed by the waste in that container.

Labelling of waste containers is used to identify the source, record they type and quantities of waste produces in each area, and allow problems with waste segregation to be traced back to a medical area.

### Waste containers specification and siting

Containers should have well-fitting lids, either removable by hand or preferably operated by a foot pedal. Both the containers and the bags should be of the correct colour for the waste they are intended to receive and labelled clearly.

All containers should be able to adequately contain the wastes deposited into it – to prevent the possibility of spills.

Sharps should be collected in puncture proof and impermeable containers that are difficult to open after closure.

The appropriate waste receptacle (bags, bins, sharps containers) should be available to staff in each medical and other waste-producing area in a healthcare facility. This permits staff to segregate and dispose of waste at the point of generation, and reduces the need for staff to carry waste through a medical area. Posters showing the type of waste that should be disposed of in each container should be displayed on the walls to guide staff and reinforce good habits.

Segregation success can be improved by making sure that the containers are large enough for the quantities of waste generated at the location during the period between collections, as well as a collection frequency that ensures no container is overfilled.

## **Setting and Maintaining Segregation Standards**

Segregation requirements and methods should be clearly set out in the waste-management policy of a healthcare facility. It is important that the waste-management policy is supported and enforced by senior staff and managers. Managers and medical supervisors should know the relevant legislation and understand how to implement waste audits.

The 'Responsible Person' or Waste Management Committee should be responsible for seeing that segregation rules are enforced and waste audits are carried out to quantify the amount of waste produced.

# **Correct Signage**

Signage indicating correct waste segregation practices is a valuable tool to provide ongoing guidance to staff. The success of the waste/recycling system will depend on having a clearly identified container for each type of material. This is achieved by the use of colour coded containers, symbols and wording. In addition, signage must be placed so that those wanting to dispose of materials can clearly and readily identify which container to deposit such materials into.

Once designed, signs should be located on walls above all waste containers as well as on the container itself.

#### **Correct Storage**

The storage area should be signposted with the bio-hazard symbol and other labelling appropriate to the types of waste stored in the area (eg healthcare) and includes the following:

- The base should be an impervious surface (eg. concrete) surrounded by a bund appropriate to contain any spill.
- All loading/ unloading takes place within the bunded area in such a manner to ensure any spills are appropriately managed.
- The base and walls of bunded areas are free of gaps or cracks.
- No liquid waste, wash down waters or stormwater contaminated with biohazardous wastes are disposed of via the stormwater drainage system; and
- The bunded area drains to a sump or sewer to collect spills and wash waters. Cut-off drains, which drain to a sump, should be used instead of bunds if approved by the relevant authority.
- Loading/ unloading of waste is carried out in accordance with designated safe procedures, and relevant records are completed and maintained.
- Containers in which biohazardous waste are stored secured when loading/unloading is not taking place.

Spill Kits for biohazardous waste located in the storage areas.

Storage for larger generators may involve a dedicated room that is constructed specifically for waste management, or could be via the use of appropriately sized mobile garbage bins (eg., 240 or 660 litre).

Conditions related to security of healthcare waste include the following:

- (a) The operator shall ensure that loading/ unloading of waste is carried out in accordance with designated safe procedures, and relevant records are completed and maintained.
- (b) Containers in which healthcare waste are stored shall be secured when loading/unloading is not taking place.

Spill Kits for healthcare and cytotoxic waste shall be located in the storage areas.

### **Recommendation 3: Improve Training Program**

All waste management strategies (particularly resource management programs), rely on all staff to participate and co-operate in order to ensure that objectives are met. Staff therefore should receive appropriate training/education to understand the inherent hazard and risks posed of healthcare waste, and the importance of its management from generation to final treatment and disposal.

The Waste Management Committee (apart from ensuring staff education programs are developed and implemented), should also address other methodologies in order to ensure that staff receive information on waste reduction programs (eg., signage, information sheets and flow charts).

One of the initial steps for developing a structured training program is to gain management support from hospital administration. The development of a training program can be facilitated by establishing core competencies related to healthcare waste management.

In the development of a training program, the following should be considered:

- Conduct of a training needs analysis
- Identification and prioritisation of employees that need to be trained.
- Defining the specific learning objectives for each target audience.
- Develop a detailed curriculum specifying the training plan for each session.
- Incorporate pre-evaluation and post evaluation of learners, evaluation of trainers, follow-up activities, and documentation into the training program.
- Develop training content or adapt available training materials, tailor training content to specific target audiences.
- Identify potential trainers and build training skills
- Develop a budget and secure funding

• Explore incentives for training (e.g. training in collaboration with a health professional society or university that can award certificates or professional credentials)

The following is an outline of a Staff Waste Management Education Program that could be developed:

- Introduction to the session
- Importance of good waste/environment management/ infection control
- Waste management hierarchy
- · Waste minimisation principles
- Brief overview of legislation pertaining to waste management
- Hospital policies on environment/waste management/ infection control/ needle stick injuries
- Overview of waste types
- Issues relating to waste reduction
- · Management responsibilities
- Identification of, and hazards associated with the different types of wastes generated Importance of effective waste segregation
- Infection control and sharps management
- Waste, handling, packaging and disposal routes for the different types of wastes generated
- Questions

All staff and contractors should attend a waste management training session. This should be conducted during all induction programs in the first instance.

For those staff and contractors currently employed on-site, they should attend a dedicated training session so that they are fully aware of their roles and responsibilities in respect to waste management. Records should be maintained of all staff and contractors attendance at a training session to ensure that all personnel attend.

At a national and regional level, training programs could be in the form of train the trainer. The training of trainers approach allows rapid capacity building and widespread training outreach.

### **Training of Waste Disposal Treatment Operators**

Incinerator/ healthcare waste treatment system operators should receive training in the following:

- Overview of healthcare waste management including risks and management approaches
- General functioning of the incinerator, including basic maintenance and repair training.

- Health, safety and environmental implications of treatment operations
- PPE, its correct use and removal and cleaning (if appropriate)
- Technical procedures for operation of the plant.
- Recognition of abnormal or unusual conditions
- Emergency response, in case of equipment failures.
- Maintenance of the facility and record keeping
- Surveillance of the quality of ash and emissions.
- Disposal of residues

### **Recommendation 4: Improved Treatment Infrastructure**

The healthcare waste stream is diverse in that it contains a variety of chemical substances, organic materials, plastics, metals and materials that are potentially contaminated with pathogenic substances. The primary aim of treating this waste stream is to ensure that there is no potential negative impact to human health or the environment as a consequence of the components of this waste not being treated adequately.

This means that the treatment process should render the waste material so that there are no pathogens likely to cause harm as well as be conducted in a manner that reduces any environmental consequences.

There are a number of treatment processes for healthcare waste. However, not all of these are able to treat all types of healthcare wastes. Materials such as pharmaceuticals, cytotoxic and anatomical wastes can only currently be treated by incineration. Therefore, when selecting a process to treat healthcare wastes, the generator must be aware of the capabilities and limitations of each of the various treatment processes and ensure that only those wastes that can be thus treated are actually sent to such a facility, and the remainder sent to an incineration facility. This is part of any facilities due diligence process.

There are a number of means of treating healthcare waste that are in commercial use around the globe. The question arises as to what type of technology is best suited to meet the various waste categories/quantities generated, environmental requirements and that treatment is done safely and in a cost-effective manner. Treatment of healthcare wastes should achieve a change in the wastes biological or chemical hazard so as to reduce or eliminate its potential to cause disease or other adverse consequences, by meeting acceptable biological standards and to ensure that there is minimal adverse environmental impact in respect to water, soil, air and noise.

Management of wastes should be based on the **precautionary principle** in that a lack of data should not mean that options be undertaken when there is still a perceivable risk of damage (to human health or the environment). The literature and other sources of information have clearly demonstrated a need for maintaining incineration as the most preferred option for at least the treatment of pharmaceutical and cytotoxic wastes – if not other components such as microbiological specimens and body parts. Only one technology has been demonstrated to be able to effectively treat all categories of healthcare waste.

This technology is incineration (at high temperature, with sufficient residence time and appropriate air pollution control equipment).

A substantial amount of data exists on the emission generated from incinerators, but conversely, little studies have been conducted on all aspects of alternate technologies performance. While the literature is inconclusive on the requirements needed to effectively manage the blood and body fluid contaminated and infectious components of the waste streams, there does seem to be consensus that these hazardous components such as pharmaceuticals and cytotoxic wastes do need to be treated prior to final disposal to ensure there is no risks to the environment or health of humans and other species.

It is also very clear that there is little work been undertaken on the consequences of landfilling untreated healthcare waste, and in particular pharmaceuticals and cytotoxic wastes. The literature does relate to impacts resulting from untreated pharmaceuticals being discharged into the environment from hospital sewers and wastewater treatment plants and does indicate that there are potential negative environmental and health consequences. The implications of these studies could legitimately be applied to discharge of waters such as leachate or surface water runoff from landfills should these wastes be deposited untreated. According to the World Health Organization<sup>3, 4</sup>, incineration is the preferred method for treating pharmaceutical and cytotoxic wastes. This is further supported by the United Nations<sup>5, 6</sup> in that they have also recommended incineration as the preferred method for treatment prior to disposal of pharmaceuticals and cytotoxic wastes. These recommendations are generally standard throughout the world in relation to these two specific waste types<sup>7, 8</sup>.

There are other studies that have been conducted on what is referred to as "alternate treatment technologies", and these have demonstrated that all of these technologies cannot effectively treat pharmaceutical and cytotoxic waste, with many also unable to treat anatomical waste.. Some jurisdictions do allow alternative means of treating anatomical waste prior to disposal to landfill, but these are by far in the minority and mostly related to ethical or religious rationales.

In Australia as an example where there is allowed a variety of treatment technologies for the range of clinical and related wastes, without exception, jurisdictions do not allow treatment

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> World Health Organization Regional Office for Europe, EURO Reports and Studies 97, Management of Wastes from Hospitals and other Health Care Establishments, 1983.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> World Health Organization, Safe management of Wastes from healthcare Facilities, Geneva, 1999.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> United Nations Environment Programme – Technical Working Group on the Basel Convention, Draft Technical Guidelines on Biomedical and Health Care Wastes, 1999.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Environment Australia, Basel Convention – Draft Technical Guidelines on Hazardous Waste: Clinical and Related Waste (Y1), March 1998.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Health care Without Harm, Non-Incineration Treatment Technologies, August 2001.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> London Waste Regulation Authority, Guidelines for the Segregation, Handling, Transport and Disposal of Clinical Waste, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, 1994.

other than incineration for anatomical waste, pharmaceuticals and cytotoxic wastes<sup>9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14</sup>. This is also quite evident in a review of Australian State/Territory environmental agency licence conditions for approved clinical and related waste treatment technologies. In countries that do allow landfilling of clinical and related wastes, often these two specific waste categories are specifically excluded from this option<sup>15</sup>.

In summary, no publication from a government environmental or health agency, or any article reviewed advocated any other preferred form of treatment for pharmaceuticals and cytotoxic wastes than incineration. In most instances the preference for anatomical waste was also incineration.

## **Recommendation 5: Procurement of Consumables (PPE)**

### **Personnel Protective Equipment**

The use of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) should be a condition of employment for employees with waste management responsibilities. PPE is one aspect of a multifaceted program, designed to protect employees from injuries and unnecessary exposure to hazardous substances.

Other aspects of this program are:

- employee training
- engineering controls to reduce or eliminate known hazards
- administrative controls

The following is a list of the personal protective equipment that should as a minimum to be supplied for all waste handlers:

- Gloves
- Masks
- Safety glasses/eye shields
- Overalls/aprons
- Safety boots

<sup>9</sup> National Health & Medical Research Council, National Guidelines for Waste Management in the Health Industry, Commonwealth of Australia, 1999.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> EPA Victoria, Draft Guidelines for the Management of Clinical and Related Waste, July 2003.

NSW Department of Health, Waste Management Guidelines for Health care Facilities, August 1998.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Queensland Government, Environmental Protection (Waste Management) Regulation, 2000.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Australian/New Zealand Standard 3816:1998, Management of Clinical and Related Wastes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Australian and New Zealand Clinical Waste Management Industry Group, Industry Code of Practice for the Management of Clinical and Related Wastes, 3<sup>rd</sup> edition July 2000.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Provincial Government of Gauteng (South Africa), Draft Health Care Waste Regulations, 11 September 2003.

### **Recommendation 6: Upgrade Storage Area**

The storage area should be signposted with the internationally recognised bio-hazard symbol and other labelling appropriate to the types of waste stored in the area (eg healthcare) and includes the following:

- The base should be an impervious surface (eg. concrete) surrounded by a bund appropriate to contain any spill.
- All loading/ unloading takes place within the bunded area in such a manner to ensure any spills are appropriately managed.
- The base and walls of bunded areas are free of gaps or cracks.
- No liquid waste, wash down waters or stormwater contaminated with biohazardous wastes are disposed of via the stormwater drainage system; and
- The bunded area drains to a sump or sewer to collect spills and wash waters. Cut-off drains, which drain to a sump, should be used instead of bunds if approved by the relevant authority.
- Loading/ unloading of waste is carried out in accordance with designated safe procedures, and relevant records are completed and maintained.
- Containers in which biohazardous waste are stored secured when loading/unloading is not taking place.
- Spill Kits for biohazardous waste located in the storage areas.

Storage for larger generators may involve a dedicated room that is constructed specifically for waste management, or could be via the use of appropriately sized mobile garbage bins (eq. 240 or 660 litre).

Conditions related to security of healthcare waste include the following:

- (c) The operator shall ensure that loading/ unloading of waste is carried out in accordance with designated safe procedures, and relevant records are completed and maintained.
- (d) Containers in which healthcare waste are stored shall be secured when loading/unloading is not taking place.

Spill Kits for healthcare and cytotoxic waste shall be located in the storage areas.